ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA934795

Filing date:

11/13/2018

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91237315
Party	Plaintiff American Marriage Ministries
Correspondence Address	Nancy V. Stephens Foster Pepper PLLC 1111 3rd AvenueSuite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 UNITED STATES nancy.stephens@foster.com 206-447-8925
Submission	Motion to Quash
Filer's Name	Nancy V. Stephens
Filer's email	stepn@foster.com
Signature	/Nancy V. Stephens/
Date	11/13/2018
Attachments	Final NVS Declaration in Support of Motion to Quash.pdf(42698 bytes ) OPPOSERS MOTION TO QUASH DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL GLENN YOSpdf.pdf(51943 bytes )

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES,

Opposer,

v.

UNIVERSAL LIFE CHURCH MONASTERY STOREHOUSE, INC.

Applicant.

Opposition No. 91237315

DECLARATION OF NANCY V. STEPHENS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSER'S MOTION TO QUASH THE DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL, GLENN YOSHIOKA AND AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES

### I, NANCY V. STEPHENS, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Member of Foster Pepper, PLLC, counsel to American Marriage Ministries. I am a member in good standing with the Washington State Bar. I submit this Declaration in support of Opposer's Motion to Quash the Depositions of Dylan Wall, Glenn Yoshioka and American Marriage Ministries.
- 2. Notices of Deposition were received on November 5, 2018 noticing depositions November 14 16, 2018, the final days of the discovery period.
- 3. Since November 5, 2018, the parties have contemplated a stipulated extension of discovery to extend the discovery period to at least as late as December 21, 2018.
- 4. Upon receiving Notices of Deposition on November 5, 2018, I have exchanged correspondence with my client to find a suitable time to depose Applicant and be deposed.

5. Opposer is unavailable November 14-16, 2018. Dylan Wall has a prior

commitment and Glenn Yoshioka is unavailable due to health reasons which can be

substantiated.

6. Given the dates of the first two weeks of December were proposed by Applicant

as suitable, these dates were discussed with my clients.

7. My client agreed that the dates of the first weeks in December would be suitable.

No final date was reached.

8. On November 12, 2018 Applicant's counsel clarified he would not stipulate to a

mutual extension of discovery and the originally noticed dates would stand though we had

previously indicated the dates were not available.

9. As the final days of discovery were the dates noticed, the only other options were

November 12<sup>th</sup> and November 13<sup>th</sup> which were unavailable due to time constraints.

10. Opposing counsel seeks to extend discovery only for his client to take depositions

and will not stipulate to an extension of discovery for both parties to the Opposition.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington and the

United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: November 13, 2018

/Nancy V. Stephens/

Nancy V. Stephens WSBA No. 31510

Foster Pepper PLLC

Attorneys for Opposer

1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101-3299

206-447-4400

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC 1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299 PHONE (206) 447-4400 FAX (206) 447-9700

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 13, 2018, I served the foregoing **DECLARATION**OF NANCY V. STEPHENS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSER'S MOTION TO QUASH THE

DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL, GLENN YOSHIOKA AND AMERICAN

MARRIAGE MINISTRIES on the Applicant by emailing to Applicant as follows:

Michael P. Matesky, II Matesky Law PLLC <u>trademarks@mateskylaw.com</u> mike@mateskylaw.com

/Renee Stewart/
Renee Stewart

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES,

Opposer,

v.

UNIVERSAL LIFE CHURCH MONASTERY STOREHOUSE, INC.

Applicant.

Opposition No. 91237315

OPPOSER'S MOTION TO QUASH DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL, GLENN YOSHIOKA AND AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES

#### INTRODUCTION

Opposer, Universal Marriage Ministries, seeks an order quashing the depositions of Dylan Wall, Glenn Yoshioka and American Marriage Ministries noted by Applicant, Universal Life Church Monastery Storehouse, Inc.

#### STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

Discovery in the above matter closes on November 16, 2016. On November 5, 2018, Opposer served a Notice of Deposition of Dylan Wall to take place on November 14, 2018, a Notice of Deposition of Glenn Yoshioka to take place on November 15, 2018 and Notice of Deposition of American Marriage Ministries to take place on November 16, 2018. On the same date the Notice of Depositions were served on Opposer (November 5, 2018), Applicant's counsel suggested the parties stipulate to extend discovery until December 21, 2018 to accommodate

schedules and take depositions the first two weeks of December, beyond the Thanksgiving

holiday. In a telephone conversation sometime between November 5<sup>th</sup> and November 6<sup>th</sup>,

Opposer's counsel confirmed that Dylan Wall and Glenn Yoshioka would be unavailable from

November 14–16, 2018 and originally believed counsel would also be unavailable. Opposer's

counsel also confirmed deponent would be available either the first or second week of December

(dates originally suggested by Applicant's counsel as possible alternative dates). Opposer agreed

to the discovery extension but suggested the extension run through the end of the year to

December 31, 2018. Applicant's counsel would not agree.

On Saturday November 10, 2018 (read for the first time on the following business day

Monday November 12, 2018), counsel for Applicant wrote counsel for Opposer to inform and

clarify that the only reason Applicant proposed the extension of discovery was for the sole

purpose of taking Opposer's depositions but the extension would not apply to both parties.

Further, Applicant stated that if Opposer did not stipulate to the extension of discovery for this

limited purpose, the dates of the original notice would stand.

On Monday November 12, 2018, Opposer's counsel again informed Applicant's counsel

that neither Opposer nor Opposer's employees would not be available on Wednesday, November

14, 2018, Thursday, November 15, 2018 or Friday, November 16, 2018 and suggested the parties

stipulate to extend discovery until December 21<sup>st</sup>, pursuant to Applicant's counsel's originally

suggested extension date, to accommodate both parties. Applicant's counsel refused to agree

unless the discovery extension only applied to Applicant.

OPPOSER'S MOTION TO QUASH DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL, GLENN YOSHIOKA AND AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES – 2

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC 1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299

PHONE (206) 447-4400 FAX (206) 447-9700

**ARGUMENT** 

Opposer moves to quash the notice of deposition on the grounds that there was not

reasonable notice in writing of the proposed deposition. While as little as 2 days notice has been

deemed reasonable, on the facts in this case it is not.

From the day the Notices of Deposition were sent on November 5, 2018 until November

12, 2018 Opposer has operated under the belief that the parties would cooperate and stipulate to

an extension of the discovery to accommodate depositions. The first proposal of a stipulated

extension was made by Applicant's counsel on the same day the notices were served.

Alternative dates were proposed and discussed and cleared with clients. On November 12, 2018,

Applicant's counsel clarified Applicant would not consent to an extension of discovery if it

applied to both sides and that the originally noted dates stood. Given the deponents had

previously communicated they were unavailable November 14 – 16, when notified on November

12, 2018 that the original dates stood, nothing had changed and the deponents were still

unavailable due to prior commitments (Dylan Wall) and health reasons (Glenn Yoshioka).

Opposer's counsel was not contacted prior to November 5, 2018 in order to arrange a

mutually convenient time for the deposition to occur. The parties spoke on October 25<sup>th</sup> but

Opposer's counsel has no recollection of being permitted time to consult with clients regarding

acceptable dates prior to receiving the November 5<sup>th</sup> notice. Given alternate dates were proposed

at the time notice was served, when it was determined deponents were unavailable for the

noticed dates, the alternate dates were discussed and calendared by deponents. It was only on

November 12<sup>th</sup> that Opposer was made aware the originally noticed dates would be in effect,

dates Opposer had already informed Applicant's counsel that deponents were unavailable.

On the facts and circumstances of this case, there was not reasonable notice of the

proposed deposition. While originally noticed on November 5, 2018, conversations and

representations indicated the discovery period would be extended by mutual agreement. The

understanding that alternate dates would not apply because Applicant's counsel would not

stipulate to the mutual extension of discovery only came on November 12, 2018, two days before

the first scheduled deposition. In addition to the unavailability of deponents for reasons of a

prior commitment and health, at this stage there is no time to adequately prepare for the

depositions.

Opposer's strategy of waiting until the end of the discovery period to notice depositions,

understanding and even expecting deponents would be unavailable and suggesting discovery be

extended but only for the sole purpose of Applicant deposing Opposer, is not within the spirit of

fairness or cooperation encouraged by the Board. The discovery period in this case originally

opened December 27, 2017 though it was suspended by the Board from April 23, 2018 through

August 14, 2018. If Applicant intended to take discovery depositions, there was time to have

raised or discussed this with counsel prior to the last weeks of the reset discovery period and

time to arrange a convenient time for both parties. When the first dates proposed were deemed

unacceptable, there was no time remaining in the discovery period to schedule alternate dates as

proposed dates were the final dates of the discovery period. The closing of a party's discovery

period does not constitute a compelling need for failing to provide reasonable notice of

deposition. Gaudreau v. American Promotional Events Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1692, 1696 (TTAB

2007).

OPPOSER'S MOTION TO QUASH DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL, GLENN YOSHIOKA AND AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES – 4

FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
1111 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3000
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3299
PHONE (206) 447-4400 FAX (206) 447-9700

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Opposer respectfully requests the Trademark Trial and Appeals Board to quash the depositions of Dylan Wall, Glenn Yoshioka and American Marriage Ministries.

DATED: November 13, 2018

/Nancy V. Stephens/ Nancy V. Stephens WSBA No. 31510 Foster Pepper PLLC Attorneys for Opposer 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3299 206-447-4400

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 13, 2018, I served the foregoing **OPPOSER'S**MOTION TO QUASH DEPOSITIONS OF DYLAN WALL, GLENN YOSHIOKA AND

AMERICAN MARRIAGE MINISTRIES on the Applicant by emailing to Applicant as follows:

Michael P. Matesky, II Matesky Law PLLC <u>trademarks@mateskylaw.com</u> <u>mike@mateskylaw.com</u>

/Renee Stewart/
Renee Stewart